### **BREDA/BRUTE**

**UMINO** 

- TREPAN MATERIAL SAMPLING OF MATERIAL FROM THE RPV WALL OF BARSEBÄCK 2 Monika Adsten, Energiforsk Magnus Boåsen, KTH Royal Institute of Technology





### Motives

Ensuring safe operation and the durability of the reactor pressure vessel is one of the most important tasks to enable Long Term Operation

- Status of the RPV and possible degradation mechanisms
- Full surveillance program covering expected time of operation
- Availability of the right competence
- Up-to-date with latest research
- Scientifically based information to owners, authorities and the public







# Scope

- Determine the material/structure-parameters and chemical composition in welds in reactor pressure vessel and reactor pressure vessel head
- Compare analyses and tests of the harvested material to the surveillance material from Barsebäck 2
  - What is the impact of the surveillance sample size?
  - What about the weld material properties compared to vessel material?
  - What about other differences between RPV and surveillance samples, for example heat treatment?
  - How does that compare to results from other BWR plant surveillance programs?
  - Can Uddcomb RPV:s from BWR:s and PWR:s be included in the same prediction curve?
- Can miniature size samples be used to extend surveillance program?









# CUT-OUT B2

The unit was operated for 28 years at T=270°C

0,02

0

0,04



W28

5





# **OVERVIEW OF WORK SPACE**





### **REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL**











# REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL HEAD







Weld



### **IMPACT TESTING OF RPVH-WELD METAL**

#### Slides from Pentti Arffmann at VTT





# Test material from the RPV head

- Non-irradiated → simple to handle
- Possibility to ensure test method for the more valuable irradiated specimens
- Weld is slanted in the trepan → amount is even more limited
  - Specimens were taken from two trepans













# **Charpy V impact testing**



- Charpy V specimen
  10x10x55 mm
- Manufactured using Electric Discharge Machining















### Impact energies form a clear transition curve





# **Baseline tests from Studsvik**



Reported by Studsvik in 1990

Chemical composition slightly different from measurement in BRUTE

| ELEM<br>ENT  | С     | Si   | Mn   | Ρ     | S     | Cr   | Мо   | Ni   | Cu    | Со    |
|--------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| BASE<br>LINE | 0.084 | 0.22 | 1.53 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.13 | 0.45 | 1.47 | 0.064 | 0.008 |
| B2<br>W28    | 0.057 | 0.15 | 1.43 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 1.47 | 0.06  | 0.02  |



# **Comparison to baseline results**



No shift in the transition temperature compared to baseline tests!

- $\Delta T 41J = -2^{\circ}C$ , while  $\sigma = \pm 5^{\circ}C$
- No thermal embrittlement
- Possible decrease in upper shelf
  energy
- Good agreement with check-in data





# **Conclusions of initial testing**

- New facilities at VTT are up and running
- No shift in the transition temperature
  - $\Delta T 41J = -2^{\circ}C$ , while  $\sigma = \pm 5^{\circ}C$
  - >> No thermal embrittlement
- Upper shelf impact energy has decreased by 27J (approx. 15%)
  - Chemical composition different in baseline material
    - Especially C from baseline to BRUTE: 0.084% > 0.057%
  - Partially due to scatter?







# Acknowledgements

