# Modelling of fuel pellet fragmentation and axial relocation under LOCA and RIA

L.O. Jernkvist, A.R. Massih loje@quantumtech.se, alma@quantumtech.se

> Quantum Technologies AB Uppsala Science Park SE-75183 Uppsala

Nationella Strålsäkerhetsdagarna 2019, Stockholm, November 6-7, 2019



## Outline of presentation

- Fuel pellet fragmentation in accident conditions
  - Examples
  - Underlying mechanisms
- Potential consequences
- Related research supported by SSM
  - Experimental programs
  - Computational modelling
- Computational modelling and analyses
- Conclusions and outlook

#### Fuel pellet fragmentation in accident conditions

Examples: Post-test appearance of high-burnup LWR UO<sub>2</sub> fuel pellets:



Loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) test Halden IFA-650.5 [1]. Fuel pellet burnup: 83 MWd/kgU



Reactivity-initiated accident (RIA) test NSRR RH-2 [2]. Fuel pellet burnup: 67 MWd/kgU



# Fuel pellet fragmentation in accident conditions

Mechanisms:

- Fine fragmentation is caused mainly by rupture of overpressurized fission gas cavities [3,4]
  - Intergranular fission gas bubbles
  - Pores in the high-burnup structure ("rim zone")
- Possible only under certain conditions [5]
  - Sufficient fuel burnup
  - Sufficient overheating
  - Sufficient heating rate
  - No mechanical constraint from the cladding tube
- Results in <u>small</u> fragments (<0.5 mm) and extensive fission gas release



Micrographs from [6,7].



#### Potential consequences

Fission-gas induced fuel fragmentation may lead to:

- Extensive release of fission gas from ruptured cavities
  - Increased pressure load on cladding
  - Worsened radiological consequences
- Dispersion of fine fuel fragments from failed rods
  - Worsened radiological consequences
  - Fuel-coolant interaction (pressure pulses)
- Aggravated axial relocation of fuel fragments in unfailed but distended fuel rods





## Axial relocation of fuel fragments

Observations from LOCA simulation tests [5]:

- Fuel pellet fragments may detach and move downward when the cladding tube distends
- Finely fragmented fuel has a higher tendency to relocate than coarsely fragmented fuel

Leads to a local increase of fuel mass, stored heat and power in the ballooned region:

- May increase cladding temperature locally
- May increase fuel dispersal upon cladding rupture



## Related research supported by SSM

LOCA simulation tests (OECD/NEA):

- Halden Reactor Project IFA-650 test series
- Studsvik Cladding Integrity Project (SCIP-III)

RIA simulation tests (OECD/NEA):

• CABRI International Project (CIP)

Computational modelling and analyses (Quantum Technologies):

- Partly conducted within SCIP-III [8]
- Partly within the IAEA Coordinated Research Project FUMAC: Fuel Modelling in Accident Conditions [9]

# Computational modelling and analyses

Objectives:

- Interpret and assess experimental results [10-12]
- Confirm understanding of fundamental mechanisms and/or identify knowledge gaps
- Extrapolate experimental results to expected LWR accident conditions





## Computational modelling and analyses

Specific models developed by Quantum Technologies:

- Unified model for fission gas behaviour in UO<sub>2</sub> fuel [12]
  - Fission gas transport/distribution/release
  - Evolution of fuel microstructure, e.g. gas-filled cavities
  - Rupture criteria for overpressurized cavities
- Unified model for axial relocation of fuel fragments [3,13,14]
  - Detachment and axial flow of fuel fragments
  - Effective medium models for fragmented material
  - Thermal feedback effects of relocated fuel

All models have been implemented in extended versions of the US NRC FRAPCON/FRAPTRAN fuel rod analysis programs and validated against aforementioned LOCA/RIA simulation tests





#### Example: Halden IFA-650.9 LOCA test

Calculated vs observed fuel relocation and its thermal effects [9-11]:



## Conclusions and outlook

- Our computational models reproduce fuel fine fragmentation and axial relocation observed in LOCA/RIA experiments on high-burnup UO<sub>2</sub> fuel
- The models have been used for identifying key parameters for the phenomena observed in tests (feedback to experimentalists)
- Modelling of fuel fine fragmentation and axial relocation under expected LWR LOCA/RIA conditions remains to be done

#### References and acknowledgement

- [1] Oberländer & Wiesenack, IFE/KR/E-2014/001, Institute for Energy Technology, Kjeller, Norway, 2014.
- [2] Sugiyama et al., Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 47, pp 439-448, 2010.
- [3] Jernkvist & Massih, SSM 2015:37, Swedish Radiation Safety Authority, 2015.
- [4] Jernkvist, Progress in Nuclear Energy, (in press), 2019.
- [5] Report NEA/CSNI/R(2016)16, OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, Paris, France, 2016.
- [6] Brohan, TopFuel-2000, Park City, UT, USA, Apr. 10-13, 2000.
- [7] Noirot et al., EPJ Web of Conferences, 115, 04055, 2016.
- [8] Karlsson et al., TopFuel-2018, Prague, Czech Republic, Sept. 30-Oct.4, 2018.
- [9] Jernkvist & Massih, IAEA TECDOC-1887, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 2019.
- [10] Jernkvist, SSM 2017:12, Swedish Radiation Safety Authority, 2017.
- [11] Jernkvist et al., Enlarged Halden Program Group Meeting, Fornebu, Norway, May 8-13, 2016.
- [12] Jernkvist, EPJ Nuclear Sciences and Technologies, 5, 2019.
- [13] Jernkvist et al., TopFuel-2015, Zürich, Switzerland, Sept. 13-17, 2015.
- [14] Jernkvist & Massih, SMiRT-23, Manchester, UK, Aug. 10-14, 2015.

Works marked in blue were produced in SSM research projects SSM 2014-2355, 2015-4050 and 2016-3944.